

Insulin-Like Growth Factor-I: a Key Regulator of Human Cancer Risk?

Kevin D. Burroughs, Sandra E. Dunn, J. Carl Barrett, Jack A. Taylor

In this issue of the Journal, Ma et al. (1) report the results of a nested case-control study that show an association between colorectal cancer risk in men and elevated plasma levels of insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) and decreased plasma levels of IGF-binding protein-3 (IGFBP-3). Importantly, this study demonstrates these effects by using plasma samples drawn years before the clinical appearance of the tumor, thus minimizing the chance that plasma levels are influenced by the disease process. This study follows reports demonstrating associations between levels of IGF-I and/or IGFBP-3 and the risk for cancers of the breast, prostate, and lung, suggesting that IGF-I is an important indicator of risk for the most prevalent forms of cancer in Western society (2-5). In fact, IGF-I levels appear to have a stronger association than most other risk factors for these common cancers.

IGF-I is unique as a peptide growth factor. In addition to autocrine/paracrine functions demonstrated in numerous tissues, it serves as an endocrine hormone promoting postnatal somatic growth and maintaining lean tissue mass (6). IGF-I effects are modulated by a family of high-affinity BPs. IGF-I and its principal carrier protein, IGFBP-3, are produced primarily by the

liver. Hepatic IGF-I synthesis is regulated by growth hormone (GH) and caloric intake and serves to integrate anabolic signals from the pituitary with signals related to nutritional status. In contrast to insulin, which is involved in short-term regulation of energy metabolism, changes in IGF-I levels occur over a longer term of days to weeks. Dietary restriction, which decreases the serum concentration of IGF-I in both humans and rodents, reduces the incidence of cancer in many rodent models (7,8). Caloric excess, on the other hand, may increase plasma IGF-I in humans (9). Perhaps part of the link between diet and cancer risk might be due to IGF-I and IGFBP-3.

Although Ma et al. find little evidence of an association between cancer risk and plasma measures of either total IGF-I or IGFBP-3 alone, they show by a number of different analyses

Affiliations of authors: K. D. Burroughs, S. E. Dunn, J. C. Barrett (Laboratory of Molecular Carcinogenesis), J. A. Taylor (Laboratory of Molecular Carcinogenesis, Epidemiology Branch), National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC.

Correspondence to: Jack A. Taylor, M.D., Ph.D., National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, P.O. Box 12233, Mail Drop A3-05, Research Triangle Park, NC, 27709 (e-mail: taylor@niehs.nih.gov).

that, when considered together, these two measures are important risk factors for colon cancer. One interpretation of these results is that unmeasured free IGF-I, which was not measured in the study, is the biologically relevant determinant. This is consistent with previous reports on breast, prostate, and lung cancers that found that inclusion of total IGF-I and IGFBP-3 together in multivariate analyses strengthened the associations with cancer risk. In circulation, more than 90% of IGF-I is bound by IGFBP-3 in a 150-kd complex that cannot cross the vascular endothelium, whereas free IGF-I can diffuse into tissue and exert biologic effects (6). Interestingly, free IGFBP-3 can also cross into tissue and have biologic effects independent of IGF-I. In future studies, direct measurement of the concentration of free IGF-I and free IGFBP-3 in plasma might provide a more precise measure of cancer risk.

Regulation of serum IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels includes genetic, environmental, and age-related factors. In population measurements, mean IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations rise from birth to puberty and progressively decline throughout the remainder of life (10). There is considerable variability within the population at each age, although intraindividual variability, particularly over a period of years, remains largely undescribed. It will be important to know within an individual how well measurement of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 at one time predicts levels at different ages and whether single measurements, or integrated measures of lifetime IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels, are most useful for the estimation of cancer risk. A study of monozygotic and dizygotic twins suggested the concentration of IGF-I in serum is a heritable trait (11). Genetic variability exists in both the IGF-I and IGFBP-3 genes (12,13). Thus, polymorphic differences at these and other loci might contribute to interindividual variability and might also be useful predictors of risk.

A role for local regulation of IGF signaling on cancer cell biology is well documented. At the cellular level, IGF signaling is governed by the concentration of IGF-I and IGF-II, the number of IGF receptors on the cell surface, the mixture of BPs present, and the expression of specific BP proteases. In culture, IGF-I stimulates cell growth by increasing proliferation and by inhibiting apoptosis. Increased local production of IGF-I or IGF-II by tumors during the progression of breast, prostate, lung, colon, and other cancers is evidence that activation of the IGF type I receptor (IGF-IR) is important for neoplastic growth *in situ* (14,15). In breast tumor tissue, IGF-IR protein is often overexpressed, possibly caused by loss of function of the p53 protein that binds to the IGF-IR promoter and represses transcription (16–18). p53 also increases IGFBP-3 expression. Effects of IGFBP-3 include inhibition of cell proliferation and induction of apoptosis by preventing the interaction of IGF and IGF-IR and by an undescribed IGF-independent mechanism (19,20). Finally, proteases such as prostate-specific antigen, which can cleave BPs and reduce their affinity for the ligands, may also contribute to neoplastic disease (21).

Despite the extensive literature on how IGF signaling may contribute to cancer in a local context, the effects of plasma IGF-I and IGFBP-3 on this process are not well characterized. In a mouse experimental model of bladder cancer, reduction of serum IGF-I levels by dietary restriction slowed tumor progression coincident with decreased DNA synthesis and increased apoptosis in tumor cells (22). All parameters were restored by infusion of recombinant IGF-I, showing that endocrine IGF-I is an important determinant of local activity and its effects on cell

growth kinetics are key to its role in tumorigenesis. It will be important to determine, for colon cancer in particular, the activities of endocrine IGF-I within the existing well-described multistage model of carcinogenesis.

If IGF-I and IGFBP-3 are risk factors for common cancers, they could provide a strategy for cancer prevention. Diet is an interesting consideration in light of epidemiologic data and animal models that demonstrate an association with cancer risk. Controversy exists whether dietary fat and/or total caloric intake are risk factors for colon cancer; however, both may influence levels of IGF-I (7,23). Chemoprevention strategies might include the use of agents, such as tamoxifen, fenretinide, or ocreotide, all of which lower plasma IGF-I and are currently used in breast cancer prevention trials (24–26). The efficacy of tamoxifen as a therapeutic and prophylactic agent is well documented. Although it is an estrogen receptor antagonist in mammary tissue, tamoxifen decreases disease recurrence in women with estrogen receptor-negative tumors; its effect on plasma IGF-I may contribute to its activity (27). Fenretinide is a vitamin A derivative. In addition to lowering IGF-I, this compound increases circulating IGFBP-3, making it an attractive prevention candidate (28). Finally, ocreotide belongs to the family of somatostatin analogs that suppress GH release from the pituitary and have antitumor activity in numerous cancer models.

Although the study by Ma et al. (1) provides perhaps the best epidemiologic evidence to date that plasma IGF-I and IGFBP-3 are associated with cancer risk, this result needs to be duplicated with other prospectively collected samples. Although plausible, it remains unknown whether IGF-I and IGFBP-3 are causal factors or simply surrogate measures of some other process. If IGF-I and IGFBP-3 are key regulators of human cancer risk, there are a number of important questions that remain. At what stage in the cancer process and in an individual's life are levels most critical? How do genetic, dietary, and environmental factors determine intraindividual and interindividual variation in IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels? What is the relationship between IGF-I and IGFBP-3 that determines cancer risk? Can modulation of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 by preventive measures be used to reduce cancer risk without detrimental side effects? Of particular concern, therapeutic use of recombinant GH and IGF-I is being considered for numerous noncancer conditions including diabetes, renal failure, various catabolic syndromes, and age-associated tissue degeneration (29–32). Would reduction of IGF-I levels for cancer prevention adversely affect these processes and would therapeutically increasing IGF-I affect cancer risk? In light of these issues, attempts to improve quality of life by modulating plasma IGF-I or IGFBP-3 must be approached with caution. Nevertheless, the studies by Ma et al. and others, suggesting that IGF-I and IGFBP-3 are major risk factors for neoplastic disease, promise new areas for studying the etiology, prevention, and therapy for the most common cancers in Western society.

REFERENCES

- (1) Ma J, Pollak MN, Giovannucci E, Chan JM, Tao Y, Hennekens CH, et al. Prospective study of colorectal cancer risk in men and plasma levels of insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I and IGF-binding protein-3. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1999;91:620–5.
- (2) Hankinson SE, Willett WC, Colditz GA, Hunter DJ, Michaud DS, Deroot B, et al. Circulating concentrations of insulin-like growth factor-I and risk of breast cancer. *Lancet* 1998;351:1393–6.
- (3) Chan JM, Stampfer MJ, Giovannucci E, Gann PH, Ma J, Wilkinson P, et

- al. Plasma insulin-like growth factor-I and prostate cancer risk: a prospective study. *Science* 1998;279:563-6.
- (4) Wolk A, Mantzoros CS, Andersson SO, Bergstrom R, Signorello LB, Lagiou P, et al. Insulin-like growth factor I and prostate cancer risk: a population-based, case-control study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1998;90:911-5.
 - (5) Yu H, Spitz MR, Mistry J, Gu J, Hong WK, Wu X. Plasma levels of insulin-like growth factor-I and lung cancer risk: a case-control analysis. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1999;91:151-6.
 - (6) Jones JJ, Clemmons DR. Insulin-like growth factors and their binding proteins: biological functions. *Endocr Rev* 1995;16:3-34.
 - (7) Thissen JP, Ketelslegers JM, Underwood LE. Nutritional regulation of the insulin-like growth factors. *Endocr Rev* 1994;15:80-101.
 - (8) Kari FW, Dunn SE, French JE, Barrett JC. Roles for insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) in mediating the anti-carcinogenic effects of caloric restriction. *J Nutr Health Aging*. In press.
 - (9) Forbes GB, Brown MR, Welle SL, Underwood LE. Hormonal response to overfeeding. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1989;49:608-11.
 - (10) Juul A, Bang P, Hertel NT, Main K, Dalgaard P, Jorgensen K, et al. Serum insulin-like growth factor-I in 1030 healthy children, adolescents, and adults: relation to age, sex, stage of puberty, testicular size, and body mass index. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1994;78:744-52.
 - (11) Kao PC, Matheny AP Jr, Lang CA. Insulin-like growth factor-I comparisons in healthy twin children. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1994;78:310-2.
 - (12) Rosen CJ, Kurland ES, Vereault D, Adler RA, Rackoff PJ, Craig WY, et al. Association between serum insulin growth factor-I (IGF-I) and a simple sequence repeat in IGF-I gene: implications for genetic studies on bone mineral density. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1998;83:2286-90.
 - (13) Zou T, Fleisher AS, Kong D, Yin J, Souza RF, Wang S, et al. Sequence alterations of insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 in neoplastic and normal gastrointestinal tissues. *Cancer Res* 1998;58:4802-4.
 - (14) Macaulay VM. Insulin-like growth factors and cancer. *Br J Cancer* 1992;65:311-20.
 - (15) Tennant MK, Thrasher JB, Twomey PA, Drivdahl RH, Birnbaum RS, Plymate SR. Protein and messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) for the type I insulin-like growth factor (IGF) receptor is decreased and IGF-II mRNA is increased in human prostate carcinoma to benign prostate epithelium. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1996;81:3774-82.
 - (16) Papa V, Gliozzo B, Clark GM, McGuire WL, Moore D, Fujita-Yamaguchi Y, et al. Insulin-like growth factor-I receptors are overexpressed and predict a low risk in human breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 1993;53:3736-40.
 - (17) Werner H, Karnieli E, Rauscher FJ, LeRoith D. Wild-type and mutant p53 differentially regulate transcription of the insulin-like growth factor I receptor gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1996;93:8318-23.
 - (18) Webster NJ, Resnik JL, Reichart DB, Strauss B, Haas M, Seely BL. Repression of the insulin receptor promoter by the tumor suppressor gene product p53: a possible mechanism for receptor overexpression in breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 1996;56:2781-8.
 - (19) Valentini B, Bhala A, DeAngelis T, Baserga R, Cohen P. The human insulin-like growth factor (IGF) binding protein-3 inhibits the growth of fibroblasts with a targeted disruption of the IGF-I receptor gene. *Mol Endocrinol* 1995;9:361-7.
 - (20) Rajah R, Valentini B, Cohen P. Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-binding protein-3 induces apoptosis and mediates the effects of transforming growth factor-B1 on programmed cell death through a p53- and IGF-independent mechanism. *J Biol Chem* 1997;272:12181-8.
 - (21) Cohen P, Graves HC, Peehl D, Kamerai M, Giudice LC, Rosenthal RG. Prostate-specific antigen PSA is an insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3 protease found in seminal plasma. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1992;75:1046-53.
 - (22) Dunn SE, Kari FW, French J, Leininger JR, Travlos G, Wilson R, et al. Dietary restriction reduces insulin-like growth factor I levels, which modulates apoptosis, cell proliferation, and tumor progression in p53-deficient mice. *Cancer Res* 1997;57:4667-72.
 - (23) Food, nutrition and the prevention of cancer: a global perspective. Washington (DC): American Institute for Cancer Research; 1997.
 - (24) Pollak M, Costantino J, Polychronakos C, Blauer S, Guyda H, Redmond C, et al. Effect of tamoxifen on serum insulin-like growth factor I levels in stage I breast cancer patients. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1990;82:1693-7.
 - (25) Cobleigh MA. Breast cancer and fenretinide, an analogue of vitamin A. *Leukemia* 1994;8 Suppl 3:S59-S63.
 - (26) Pollak MN, Schally AV. Mechanisms of antineoplastic action of somatostatin analogs. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 1998;217:143-52.
 - (27) Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group. Systemic treatment of early breast cancer by hormonal, cytotoxic, or immune therapy. 133 randomised trials involving 31,000 recurrences and 24,000 deaths among 75,000 women. *Lancet* 1992;339:71-85.
 - (28) Torrisi R, Parodi S, Fontana V, Pensa F, Casella C, Barreca A, et al. Effect of fenretinide on plasma IGF-I and IGFBP-3 in early breast cancer patients. *Int J Cancer* 1998;76:787-90.
 - (29) Dunger DB, Acerini CL. Does recombinant human insulin-like growth factor-I have a role in the treatment of diabetes? *Diabetic Med* 1997;14:723-31.
 - (30) Hammerman MR, Miller SB. Effects of growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor I on renal growth and function. *J Pediatr* 1997;131(1 Pt 2):S17-9.
 - (31) Jenkins RC, Ross RJ. Growth hormone therapy for protein catabolism. *QJM* 1996;89:813-9.
 - (32) Borst SE, Lowenthal DT. Role of IGF-I in muscular atrophy of aging. *Endocrine* 1997;7:61-3.